



Reframing the Argument

Examining Argument Through a New Lens



Lisa Loughlin, Laura Halstied

Published by *K20 Center*

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons CC BY-SA 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

Grade Level	9th – Secondary Grade	Time Frame	90 minutes
Subject	English/Language Arts	Duration	1-2 periods
Course	A.P. Language and Composition		

Essential Question

How do our experiences affect the way we argue?

Summary

This lesson uses Lawrence Kohlberg's Heinz Dilemma to teach students how to create an effective argument using evidence and logical reasoning. Content is introduced with an anticipation guide and class discussion. Students then read and respond to the Heinz Dilemma and analyze four claims, then create counterclaims. Next, they view an interview with a criminal defense attorney to see how argument skills are used in the real world. Students next choose an argumentative topic to write a claim and a counterclaim.

Snapshot

Engage

Students complete an anticipation guide and discuss their topic with classmates using the Fold the Line strategy.

Explore

Students read and discuss the Heinz Dilemma in small groups.

Explain

Students discuss the value of looking at topics through multiple lenses and how that informs the writing process.

Extend

Students watch an interview with a public defender who speaks about her career as an attorney and assesses the Heinz Dilemma.

Evaluate

Students write two paragraphs over a topic using the Claims, Evidence, Reasoning strategy. One paragraph includes a claim and the second paragraph includes a counterclaim/counterargument.

Standards

Oklahoma Academic Standards: English Language Arts (Grade 9)

9.1.L.1: Actively listen using agreed-upon discussion rules with control of verbal and nonverbal cues.

9.1.S.2: Follow agreed-upon rules as they engage in collaborative discussions about what they are reading and writing, expressing their own ideas clearly, building on the ideas of others, and respectfully disagreeing when necessary in pairs, diverse groups, and whole-class settings.

9.2.W.3: Routinely and recursively revise drafts for organization, transitions, sentence variety, and consistent tone and point of view in order to improve coherence and meaning.

Attachments

- [Anticipation Guide—Reframing the Argument - Spanish.docx](#)
- [Anticipation Guide—Reframing the Argument - Spanish.pdf](#)
- [Anticipation Guide—Reframing the Argument.docx](#)
- [Anticipation Guide—Reframing the Argument.pdf](#)
- [Lesson Slides—Reframing the Argument.pptx](#)
- [Talk Moves—Reframing the Argument - Spanish.docx](#)
- [Talk Moves—Reframing the Argument - Spanish.pdf](#)
- [Talk Moves—Reframing the Argument.docx](#)
- [Talk Moves—Reframing the Argument.pdf](#)
- [The Heinz Dilemma—Reframing the Argument - Spanish.docx](#)
- [The Heinz Dilemma—Reframing the Argument - Spanish.pdf](#)
- [The Heinz Dilemma—Reframing the Argument.docx](#)
- [The Heinz Dilemma—Reframing the Argument.pdf](#)

Materials

- Lesson Slides (attached)
- Anticipation Guide handout (attached, one half-sheet per student)
- The Heinz Dilemma handout (attached, one per student)
- Talk Moves handout (attached, one per student)
- Notebook paper
- Pens/pencils
- Paper (one sheet per group)
- Markers (one per group)
- Highlighters (optional)

20 minutes

Engage

Teacher's Note: Lesson Preparation

Prior to teaching the lesson, arrange your classroom for small group work (two to three students per group). Once group work begins, each group will need a large sticky note and a marker.

Before using the [Fold the Line](#) strategy, plan ahead where you want students to create their line. This can be done in a clear space in the classroom or a larger space like a hallway, gym, or outdoor area.

Display **slide 3** to review the essential question and **slide 4** to review the lesson objectives.

Transition to **slide 5** and distribute the attached **Anticipation Guide** handout to each student. Using the [Anticipation Guide](#) strategy, have students read each statement and choose their level of agreement based on the Likert scale. Allow students approximately five minutes to complete the Anticipation Guide or adjust this time as needed.

Move to **slide 6**. Introduce students to the [Fold the Line](#) strategy and explain that they will be engaging in a discussion over three of the statements on the Anticipation Guide.

Pass out the attached **Talk Moves** handout to each student. Display the first prompt on **slide 7**. Direct students to line up according to how much they agree with the prompt on a scale of 1–5. After they have lined up, instruct the student at the end to "fold the line" by walking to face the student at the front. Have the rest of the line follow the leader, pairing up with the next classmate in line. Each student should be standing across from the classmate who was standing at an opposite position in the line. If there is an uneven number, create one group of 3.

Instruct students to discuss the prompt with their partners. Have students use the Talk Moves sentence starters to discuss their responses to the statement on slide 7. Invite students to ask clarifying questions before starting the activity and verify their understanding of the task.

Transition through **slides 8–9** and have students repeat the procedure for each prompt.

25 minutes

Explore

Distribute the attached **The Heinz Dilemma** handout. Invite students to read The Heinz Dilemma individually and answer the six questions on the handout. Allow 10–12 minutes for this but adjust time as needed.

Transition to **slide 10**. Place students into groups of two or three. Instruct students to briefly discuss their answers to the four questions on slide 10 using the Talk Moves handout. Allow 10–12 minutes but adjust time as needed.

After students have had time to discuss, pass out a sheet of paper and a marker to each group. Move to **slide 11**, featuring four claims about about The Heinz Dilemma. Assign each group one of the four claims. (It is okay if two groups are assigned the same claim.) Each group should write their assigned claim on their paper using the marker. The claims students will be analyzing are:

Claim 1: Heinz shouldn't steal because stealing is always wrong.

Claim 2: Heinz should steal because medicine should be free.

Claim 3: Heinz shouldn't steal because the chemist might have bills/sick spouse/sick child and need the money in an equally urgent way.

Claim 4: Heinz should steal because it's saving a life and a life is worth more than money.

After they have written their assigned claim, instruct students to come up with three counterclaims (reasons why the claim is not valid). They should write these three counterclaims under the claim.

When each group is finished, instruct students to leave their sheet on a desk.

Teacher's Note: Activity Pacing

It may be helpful to add a limit on how much time students should spend at each claim (such as 2–3 minutes). This way, students can work efficiently. Consider reducing the time at each claim after the first rotation as students will struggle to come up with additional counterclaims. An example of rotation times could be:

Rotation One: 4 minutes

Rotation Two: 3 minutes

Rotation Three: 2 minutes

Instruct groups to rotate around the room, read, and consider each of the other groups' claims and counter claims. As students rotate, they should also write any additional counterclaims they can think of. Have students rotate so that all groups have analyzed the four claims and provided counterclaims to each.

After students have looked at all four claims, move to **slide 12**. Invite students to hang their posters around the classroom, and allow 5 minutes for students to look at all of the counterclaims their classmates created using the [Gallery Walk](#) strategy. When students are finished, lead a brief class discussion using questions such as:

- Which of these claims is the most difficult to defend? Why?
- Which of these claims is the easiest to defend? Why?
- Why is it important to consider counterclaims?
- What counterclaims did your classmates produce that your group didn't?
- What counterarguments could you use to respond to your classmates' counterclaims?

10 minutes

Explain

Transition to **slide 13** and ask students if anyone knows what the object on the slide is called.

Move to **slide 14** and inform students that the device is called a phoropter. Explain that this is a machine commonly found at the optometrist's office. Ask students how this machine works or how they've seen it used. Allow 2–3 minutes of wait time for students to answer.

Possible Student Responses

Student answers may include some variations of the following:

- It helps figure out what lenses you need for glasses.
- It shows you different lenses to see how words or letters look.
- It shows you different lenses to see which is clearest.

After students have answered, pose the question: How can viewing something from different lenses help you when creating and writing an argument? Allow some brief student responses.

Transition to **slide 15** and explain the answer to the previous question using the information on the slide. Explain the link between the phoropter and creating an argument and allow students to ask clarifying questions.

20 minutes

Extend

Transition to **slide 16** and explain to students that they will watch an interview with a public defender whose entire job is based on arguing and negotiating. Students may need more explanation of what that job is to access their prior knowledge of this occupation before watching the interview. Provide a brief description or a familiar reference to popular law/crime TV shows, then show the [video](#).

Embedded video

<https://youtube.com/watch?v=Cw8hCX8eFEE>

After watching the interview, transition to **slide 17** and use these questions to facilitate a short class discussion:

- What did you take away from this interview?
- What are her first steps when assigned a new case? How is this similar to argumentative writing?
- How does her experience as a prosecutor help her as a defense attorney? How does this relate to the lenses analogy we discussed earlier?
- When discussing The Heinz Dilemma, she forms her argument by using facts to ask for leniency. Why does viewing the circumstances from this lens help her advocate for Heinz?

20 minutes

Evaluate

Transition to **slide 18** after wrapping up your discussion and ask students to take out a piece of notebook paper.

Instruct students to use what they learned during this lesson by writing two paragraphs using the [Claim, Evidence, Reasoning](#) strategy. If students are unfamiliar with the Claim, Evidence, Reasoning format, a [video](#) is available on **slide 19**.

Embedded video

<https://youtube.com/watch?v=JGOxVlgmGWE>

Four topics are on slide 17 for students to choose from. First, students should write a paragraph in defense of their position on their chosen topic. Then, they should write a second paragraph with a counterclaim and counterargument against their position.

Move to **slide 20** and provide the sentence starters for students to use while writing their two paragraphs. Collect the completed writings as students leave the classroom and assess for student understanding of the lesson content.

Resources

- K20 Center. (n.d.). Claim, evidence, reasoning (CER). Strategies. <https://learn.k20center.ou.edu/strategy/156>
- K20 Center. (2021, August 19). Claims, evidence, and reasoning [Video]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JGOxVlgmGWE&t=1s>
- K20 Center. (n.d.). Fold the Line. Strategies. <https://learn.k20center.ou.edu/strategy/171>
- K20 Center. (n.d.). Gallery walk / carousel. Strategies. <https://learn.k20center.ou.edu/strategy/118>
- K20 Center. (2022, September 22). K20 ICAP - Defense Attorney (ELA) - Reframing the Argument [Video]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cw8hCX8eFEE>
- McLeod, S. (1970, January 1). Kohlberg's stages of moral development. *Simply Psychology*. <https://www.simplypsychology.org/kohlberg.html>