MIRANDA V. ARIZONA, CONSTRUCTIVE CONTROVERSY

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **In 2013, police officers did not immediately inform Boston Marathon bombing suspect Dzhokar Tsarnaev of his Miranda rights after he was arrested. Police officers are allowed to skip reading the Miranda warning to suspects in cases involving terrorism. Is this fair?** | |
| 1. You have answered **YES** to this question. Write a reasoning in favor of this practice. | 1. You have answered **NO** to this question. Write a reasoning against this practice. |
| 1. Combine the arguments your group came up with into a statement of advice. This statement will create a balanced approach for applying the principles of the ruling to a modern-day challenge. | |

GIDEON V. WAINWRIGHT, CONSTRUCTIVE CONTROVERSY

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **If you can’t afford a private attorney, you are required to have a public defender represent you for your entire case at no cost. They may be less experienced than the prosecutor and focus on many cases at once, instead of just yours. Is this fair?** | |
| 1. You have answered **YES** to this question. Why are you in favor of this practice? | 1. You have answered **NO** to this question. Why do you think this practice might present a challenge to the ruling in the Gideon case? |
| 1. Combine the arguments your group came up with into a statement of advice. This statement will create a balanced approach for applying the principles of the ruling to a modern-day challenge. | |