# CASE EVALUATION RUBRIC

Use the following Rubric to evaluate the arguments as they are presented.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Category | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| **OPENING STATEMENT** | Opening statement clearly outlined 5 main points of argument in a logical way. | Opening statement outlines 4-5 main points , some lack clarity. | Opening statement outlines 2-3 main points, but not very clearly. | Opening statement did not identify any main points and/or have any clarity or logic. |
| **REBUTTAL AND CROSS EXAMINATION** | All counter-arguments were accurate, relevant and strong. | Most counter arguments were accurate, relevant, and strong.  | Most counter-arguments were accurate and relevant, but several were weak.  | Counter-arguments were not accurate and/or relevant. |
| **CLOSING STATEMENT** | Closing statement summarized the argument clearly and logically and reviewed the 5 main points. | Closing statement summarized the argument and reviewed 4-5 main points.  | Closing statement summarized the argument and reviewed 2-3 main points, but not very clearly or logically. | Closing statement summarized the argument poorly and/or did not review any main points. |
| **USE OF FACTS/STATISTICS** | Every major point was well supported with several relevant facts, statistics and/or examples. | Every major point was adequately supported with relevant facts, statistics, and/or examples. | Every major point was supported with facts, statistics and/or examples, but the relevance of some was questionable. | Every point was not supported.  |
| **UNDERSTANDING OF TOPIC** | The team clearly understood the topic in-depth and presented their information forcefully and convincingly.  | The team clearly understood the topic in-depth and presenter their information with ease.  | The team seemed to understand the main points of the topic and presented those with ease. | The team did not show an adequate understanding of the topic. |
| **RESPECT FOR OTHER TEAM** | All statements, body language, and responses were respectful and were in appropriate language.  | Statements and responses were respectful and used appropriate language, but once or twice body language was not.  | Most statements and responses were respectful and in appropriate language, but there was one sarcastic remark. | Statements, responses and/or body language were consistently not respectful.  |